UMMA, Volume 13 (1), 2026: 88–112

Presidential Communication as a Tool for Strengthening Diplomatic Relations: A Critical Interpretation of President Samia Suluhu Hassan's 2026 Sherry Party Address

Frolence Rutechura
University of Dar es Salaam
rutechura@udsm.ac.tz

Abstract

This article provides a critical analysis of President Samia Suluhu Hassan's 2026 Diplomatic Sherry Party address as a performative act of diplomatic statecraft in a post-election environment. Grounded in Aristotelian rhetorical theory and Strategic Narrative Theory, the study investigates how executive rhetoric is deployed to consolidate domestic legitimacy, assert national sovereignty, and project international credibility. Through a qualitative content analysis of the official speech transcript, the paper examines the micro-level deployment of ethos, pathos, and logos, alongside macro-level system, identity, and issue narratives. Findings reveal that the President executes a multi-layered communicative strategy to restore institutional trust and reassure the international diplomatic corps. Key techniques include mobilizing verified macroeconomic indicators, offering empathetic acknowledgement of post-election unrest, committing to institutional reconciliation, and presenting a long-term economic development vision. The speech constructs a system narrative advocating rules-based multilateralism; an identity narrative framing Tanzania as a sovereign, pragmatically non-aligned, and democratic actor; and issue narratives centring on industrial value addition, climate diplomacy, and medical diplomacy. The article concludes that presidential diplomatic rhetoric in contemporary African contexts operates as a strategic tool of dual-audience governance, aligning domestic accountability with international image management in an increasingly fragmented geopolitical landscape.

Keywords:
Presidential Communication, Strategic Communication, Aristotelian Rhetoric, Strategic Narrative Theory, Diplomatic Statecraft, Tanzania.

https://dx.doi.org/10.56279/ummaj.v13i1.5

CC BY

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Context

Presidential communication represents a cornerstone of executive governance, serving as a key mechanism through which political leaders establish institutional legitimacy, shape foreign policy agendas, and project state identity to domestic and international constituencies. In classical political thought, the persuasive authority of executive discourse relies heavily on Aristotelian appeals—ethos (moral credibility), logos (rational argumentation), and pathos (emotional connection). Modern political communication scholarship expands upon these classical foundations through Strategic Narrative Theory, analysing how political actors construct coherent temporal stories (spanning past achievements, present challenges, and future visions) to shape audience perception, manage national reputation, and navigate global power dynamics.

While extensive literature examines presidential speechifying in Western democracies or during domestic political campaigns, diplomatic presidential communication in Sub-Saharan Africa remains significantly under-researched. In African political settings, presidential rhetoric traditionally receives scholarly attention regarding electioneering, anti-colonial nation-building, and domestic mass mobilization. However, as African nations become increasingly integrated into global financial, trade, and multilateral governance networks, executive diplomatic communication has acquired unprecedented strategic significance. African heads of state must continuously perform balancing acts: reassuring foreign investors, asserting territorial and decision-making sovereignty, managing post-election political friction, and negotiating state positioning amidst shifting geopolitical alignments.

President Samia Suluhu Hassan's address at the annual Diplomatic Sherry Party on January 15, 2026, at State House in Chamwino, Dodoma, offers an exemplary site for analysing contemporary presidential diplomatic statecraft. Occurring in the immediate wake of the October 2025 General Elections, the speech addressed an environment characterized by localized political tensions, domestic calls for reconciliation, and heightened scrutiny from

foreign diplomatic missions and international development partners. Post-election uncertainty poses direct risks to institutional stability, foreign direct investment, and credit standing. In this high-stakes context, executive communication functions not merely as ceremonial symbolism, but as a performative act that constructs political reality, re-establishes governance continuity, and asserts state credibility.

Presidential Diplomatic Statecraft Architecture:

• Micro-Rhetorical Appeals (Aristotelian Framework): Ethos (Moral & Technocratic Authority), Pathos (Empathy & Relational Trust), Logos (Empirical Logic & Fiscal Data).

• Macro-Narrative Layers (Strategic Narrative Model): System Narrative (Rules-Based Multilateralism), Identity Narrative (Sovereign Pragmatism & Multi-Engagement), Issue Narrative (Development Vision 2050, Industrialization, Climate & Medical Diplomacy).

Figure 1: Conceptual Architecture of Presidential Diplomatic Statecraft

1.2 Statement of the Problem and Research Gap

Despite the growing importance of executive diplomatic communication in Africa, the existing literature exhibits three critical limitations:

1. Focus on Campaign Rhetoric: Scholarly inquiries into African political discourse remain heavily concentrated on electoral campaign speeches, populist rhetoric, and domestic party competition, neglecting the specialized domain of executive diplomatic statecraft.

2. Methodological Isolation: Existing studies predominantly rely on Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) in isolation, frequently missing the opportunity to integrate classical rhetorical traditions with contemporary strategic communication frameworks.

3. Neglect of International Legitimacy Management: Limited systematic empirical research explores how African executive leaders utilize statecraft rhetoric to manage international legitimacy, reassure financial markets, and articulate autonomous agency within global governance structures.

1.3 Core Objectives and Research Scope

This study addresses these theoretical and empirical gaps by critically evaluating President Samia Suluhu Hassan's 2026 Diplomatic Sherry Party address. The primary objective is to investigate how the President integrates micro-level rhetorical appeals with macro-level strategic narrative structures to repair post-election legitimacy, project state sovereignty, and reposition Tanzania in the international system. Specifically, the paper examines:

i. How classical Aristotelian appeals (ethos, pathos, logos) are operationalised to construct moral authority and managerial competence.
ii. How system, identity, and issue narratives are synthesized to align domestic governance priorities with global diplomatic engagement.
iii. The broader theoretical implications of dual-audience presidential statecraft for political communication scholarship in the Global South.

2. Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

To capture both the linguistic nuance and macro-structural strategy of presidential diplomatic rhetoric, this study employs an integrated theoretical framework combining Aristotelian Rhetorical Analysis with Strategic Narrative Theory.

MICRO-RHETORICAL PERSUASION (Aristotelian Model)
- Ethos: Institutional & moral authority
- Pathos: Empathy & relational trust
- Logos: Empirical logic & data

MACRO-NARRATIVE STRUCTURE (Strategic Narrative Theory)
- System Narrative: World order rules & multilateralism
- Identity Narrative: State identity & sovereign pragmatism
- Issue Narrative: Policy operationalization (Vision 2050, Climate, Health)

SYNTHETIC EFFECT: DUAL-AUDIENCE LEGITIMACY & STATECRAFT
(Harmonizing Domestic Accountability & International Credibility)
Figure 2: Integrated Analytical Framework

2.1 Micro-Rhetorical Persuasion: The Aristotelian Model

The classical Aristotelian framework posits that persuasive speech relies on three foundational artistic proofs:

Ethos (Character and Credibility): The construction of the speaker's moral authority, trustworthiness, and managerial competence. In diplomatic communication, executive ethos is established through adherence to constitutional norms, commitment to international law, and technocratic proficiency.

Pathos (Emotional Appeal): The strategic engagement of audience emotions to cultivate empathy, shared values, and relational trust. In post-conflict or post-election settings, pathos allows leaders to acknowledge societal distress and alleviate diplomatic tension.

Logos (Rational Argumentation): The deployment of logical reasoning, verifiable data, and structured evidence to substantiate policy claims. In statecraft, logos relies on economic indicators, fiscal data, and statutory frameworks to demonstrate operational rationality.

2.2 Macro-Narrative Structuring: Strategic Narrative Theory

While Aristotelian rhetoric explains micro-level textual persuasion, Strategic Narrative Theory addresses how actors construct overarching structures of meaning to exert influence in international relations. As articulated by Miskimmon, O'Loughlin, and Roselle (2013), strategic narratives operate across three primary layers:

System Narratives: Formulations concerning the structure, rules, and normative character of the international system.

Identity Narratives: Formulations defining the state's character, values, historical lineage, and geopolitical posture within the world order.

Issue Narratives: Strategic stories that frame specific policy domains—such as economic development, climate change, or health security—to justify concrete policy interventions.

2.3 Conceptual Synergy: Micro-Persuasion within Macro-Narratives

This study argues that micro-rhetorical appeals and macro-strategic narratives are mutually reinforcing. Aristotelian proofs serve as the linguistic building blocks through which strategic narratives are operationalized. Conversely, strategic narratives provide the temporal and contextual framework that gives rhetorical appeals their political force. The integration of these two frameworks enables a comprehensive examination of executive speech as both an immediate persuasive act and an enduring instrument of strategic statecraft.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Strategic Communication and Executive Statecraft

Strategic communication has evolved from managerial public relations into a major theoretical framework for evaluating how political actors construct authority, project power, and achieve governance objectives. Hallahan et al. (2007) define strategic communication as the purposeful use of communication by an organization or political actor to fulfill its core mission. Falkheimer and Heide (2015) conceptualize it as a coordinated communicative practice situated within institutional structures and asymmetric power dynamics.

In executive governance, communication extends beyond information transmission to encompass the active production of state authority. Macnamara (2018) emphasizes that government communication must be understood as an interactive, legitimacy-producing process rooted in democratic accountability and stakeholder engagement. While strategic communication theory is well-developed in corporate and public

administration domains, its theoretical application to presidential diplomatic discourse in developing nations remains under-explored.

3.2 Presidential Communication and Rhetorical Authority

The scholarly study of presidential discourse consistently highlights the foundational role of rhetoric in executive governance. Classical rhetorical scholars emphasize that presidential authority is performatively enacted through speech. Beasley (2004) and Campbell and Jamieson (2008) demonstrate that presidential rhetoric acts as an instrument of governance that defines national identity, constructs collective memory, and establishes institutional authority.

Hart (2011) notes that contemporary presidential rhetoric increasingly synthesizes technocratic rationality with symbolic appeals to navigate complex political environments. Modern leaders must simultaneously deploy empirical data to satisfy technocratic expectations and emotional/moral appeals to build public resonance. However, much of this scholarly tradition focuses heavily on North American and European presidencies, leaving a theoretical gap regarding how executive leaders in the Global South deploy rhetorical strategies in high-stakes diplomatic contexts.

3.3 Political Communication in African Contexts

Political communication scholarship in Sub-Saharan Africa has expanded over recent decades, exploring how language shapes democratic transition, national integration, and political competition. Researchers emphasize that political discourse in African settings reflects distinct historical legacies, cultural dynamics, and institutional configurations. Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) has been widely applied to examine how African presidential speeches construct themes of nationhood, executive authority, and state legitimacy.

For instance, studies on South African presidential discourse highlight how executive language is deployed to foster post-apartheid national unity and support democratic consolidation. In East Africa—and Tanzania specifically—scholars have analyzed how presidential communication utilizes political metaphors, authority argumentation, and persuasive strategies during electoral campaigns and parliamentary proceedings. Research on Tanzania's executive rhetoric demonstrates how leaders leverage language to frame government achievements, mobilize public support, and discursively manage political competition.

Table 1: Taxonomy of Existing Literature

SCHOLARLY FOCUS	KEY AUTHORS	CORE LIMITATION
Corporate & Public Strategic Communication	Hallahan et al. (2007); Falkheimer & Heide (2015)	Under-applies theory to executive diplomacy
Western Presidential Rhetoric & Executive Governance	Beasley (2004); Campbell & Jamieson (2008); Hart (2011)	Geographically biased toward Global North
African Political Communication & Critical Discourse	Wasserman (2011); Selenya (2023); Msagalla (2024)	Focuses heavily on domestic campaign rhetoric

3.4 Summary of Gaps in Existing Scholarship

Despite valuable contributions, political communication scholarship on Africa continues to treat campaign speeches and domestic rallies as primary research sites. Crucially, existing literature lacks empirical investigations into how African heads of state utilize diplomatic addresses to achieve 'externalised accountability'—performing governance transparency and policy consistency to international stakeholders while simultaneously maintaining domestic constitutional authority. This study directly addresses this limitation.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative content analysis approach to evaluate the communicative strategies embedded in executive diplomatic speech. Qualitative content analysis provides a systematic framework for analyzing qualitative textual data, identifying recurring thematic patterns, rhetorical structures, and contextual meanings. Following Schreier (2012), the analytical procedure is both interpretive and theory-guided, structured around the dual concepts of Aristotelian rhetoric and Strategic Narrative Theory.

4.2 Textual Corpus and Data Source

The primary data source for this study is the official transcript of President Samia Suluhu Hassan's annual address to the diplomatic corps during the Diplomatic Sherry Party, delivered on January 15, 2026, at State House, Chamwino, Dodoma. The complete, unabridged transcript was retrieved from the official portal of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and East African Cooperation.

Table 2: Corpus Attributes and Technical Specifications

Corpus Attribute	Detail / Specification
Speaker	H.E. President Samia Suluhu Hassan, President of the United Republic of Tanzania
Event	Annual Diplomatic Sherry Party Address
Date & Venue	January 15, 2026 | State House, Chamwino, Dodoma, Tanzania

Corpus Attribute	Detail / Specification
Primary Audience	Heads of Foreign Diplomatic Missions, International Organisations, & Multilateral Partners
Secondary Audience	Domestic Constituencies, Regional Blocs (EAC, SADC, AU), & Global Investors
Document Source	Ministry of Foreign Affairs and East African Cooperation Official Portal

The selected text represents a critical sample of diplomatic presidential statecraft. Delivered shortly after the October 2025 General Elections, the speech was structured to navigate a sensitive post-election political environment, address diplomatic concerns surrounding civic space, present long-term economic policies, and project Tanzania's foreign policy posture.

4.3 Analytical Framework and Procedure

The textual analysis was conducted through a three-stage qualitative coding procedure:

1. Structural Textual Deconstruction: The speech transcript was systematically categorized into functional thematic units corresponding to background context, domestic political governance, economic performance indicators, sectoral policy initiatives, and foreign policy declarations.

2. Micro-Rhetorical Coding: Textual segments were coded for Aristotelian rhetorical proofs: ethos (credibility markers, moral authority, statutory references), pathos (empathetic statements, grief expressions, relational gestures), and logos (statistical evidence, macroeconomic data, operational policy logic).

3. Macro-Narrative Mapping: Coded statements were analyzed to identify overarching narrative structures: system narratives (global order,

multilateralism, non-alignment), identity narratives (sovereignty, pragmatic non-alignment, democratic mandate), and issue narratives (Development Vision 2050, value-added industrialization, climate action, and medical diplomacy).

5. Empirical Analysis and Findings

Applying the integrated framework, this section analyzes President Samia Suluhu Hassan's 2026 Diplomatic Sherry Party address. The analysis demonstrates how micro-level rhetorical appeals and macro-level strategic narratives operate synthetically to achieve dual-audience persuasion.

Table 3: Matrix of Findings & Textual Evidence

DIMENSION	RHETORICAL / NARRATIVE FOCUS	TEXTUAL EXCERPT / EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE
Micro-Rhetoric: Ethos	Technocratic & Institutional Credibility	5.9% GDP growth; 3.3% inflation; 48.2% Debt/GDP ratio vs 55% threshold
Micro-Rhetoric: Pathos	Relational Trust & Empathetic Acknowledgement	Shared grief ("heaviest of hearts"); Regret for internet/service outages
Micro-Rhetoric: Logos	Empirical Validation & Policy Rationality	DSE cap up 34.3% to TZS 23.99T; Tourism receipts up from $1.3B to $4B
Macro-Narrative: System	Rules-Based Multilateralism & Active Neutrality	"Multilateralism is not optional, it's essential"; "Build bridges"

DIMENSION	RHETORICAL / NARRATIVE FOCUS	TEXTUAL EXCERPT / EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE
Macro-Narrative: Identity	Sovereign Pragmatism & Multi-Engagement	"Respect our sovereignty"; "Non-aligned but multi-engaged"
Macro-Narrative: Issue	Economic Transformation & Sectoral Innovation	Vision 2050 double-digit growth; Move from raw potential to value

5.1 Micro-Rhetorical Analysis: Aristotelian Persuasive Strategies

5.1.1 Ethos: Constructing Moral and Technocratic Credibility

In executive diplomatic statecraft, ethos represents the foundational proof required to establish international trust, particularly in post-election settings. President Samia constructs ethos through two primary techniques: establishing principled adherence to international norms and demonstrating technocratic competence through verified economic performance.

First, the President establishes moral credibility by framing diplomacy and multilateral engagement as core principles of Tanzanian statecraft:

> *"Dialogue, cooperation and multilateral solutions are absolutely essential and the value of diplomacy is not in any way ceremonial, it is essential."*

By positioning Tanzania as a cooperative actor committed to structured dialogue, the speech asserts moral authority and reinforces state reliability in global affairs.

Second, the speech constructs technocratic ethos by embedding verified macroeconomic statistics directly into the executive address. The President highlights national fiscal discipline:

> *"Tanzania is one of Africa's fastest growing economies with 5.9% GDP growth and inflation held at a very stable 3.3%... the debt to GDP ratio is currently at 48.2% which is below the 55% threshold."*

Citing specific fiscal figures, credit performance, and sovereign debt thresholds allows the President to fuse political authority with managerial rationality. Following Hart (2011), this synthesis of symbolic executive authority and technocratic data projects institutional stability and administrative capability to sovereign lenders and foreign investors.

Furthermore, executive credibility is reinforced through direct engagement with domestic political tensions. The President addresses post-election concerns by citing concrete statutory interventions:

> *"...constituted an independent commission of inquiry in accordance with our constitution; and pledge to establish a Truth and Reconciliation Commission to precede our new constitution process."*

Acknowledging political difficulties while outlining constitutional remedies enhances ethos. Rather than avoiding controversial events, the speech frames governance challenges within legal frameworks, demonstrating institutional accountability and a commitment to the rule of law.

5.1.2 Pathos: Emotional Resonance and Relational Trust

Pathos in diplomatic communication serves to bridge formal institutional distance, generate emotional resonance, and cultivate relational trust. Following the October 2025 elections, the President deploys pathos to directly address political friction and international concern.

Addressing domestic civil distress, the President uses explicit empathetic language:

> *"With the heaviest of hearts we acknowledge the difficulties of the unrest and the violence..."*

This admission offers an expression of shared executive grief, humanizing the presidency and signaling sensitivity to societal trauma.

The President then extends this empathetic posture to the foreign diplomatic corps, directly acknowledging diplomatic disruptions incurred during the post-election period:

> *"I would like to extend my very sincere sympathies in relation to the uncertainty, service outages and internet blackouts you've experienced."*

By acknowledging specific diplomatic inconveniences—such as communication blackouts—the speech mitigates international diplomatic frustration. This gesture displays an executive posture of responsibility, utilizing pathos to de-escalate tension and rebuild diplomatic trust.

To broaden the temporal scope of national recovery, the President introduces a philosophical reference, quoting Søren Kierkegaard:

> *"Life can only be understood backwards; but it must be lived forwards."*

This quotation introduces a reflective dimension to the discourse. By contextualising post-election recovery within a broader historical continuum, the speech frames political healing as an intentional, forward-looking process.

Finally, emotional resonance is integrated into economic policy rhetoric through youth-centred metaphors:

> *"Tanzanian youth are the heartbeat of our 10 per cent growth target."*

Personifying macroeconomic targets through the metaphor of a human 'heartbeat' transforms technical growth projections into a shared national aspiration. This rhetorical choice links state economic planning with human vitality, invoking pathos to legitimize long-term national development goals.

5.1.3 Logos: Empirical Evidence and Policy Rationality

Logos establishes the logical structure of executive discourse, utilizing verifiable empirical data to substantiate claims of political stability and economic performance. In the address, logos is deployed to present a data-backed narrative of institutional resilience.

The President presents specific capital market indicators and sectoral revenue increases:

> *"The DSE market capitalisation increased by 34.3% to TZS 23,995.45 billion by year end. We've also seen a significant increase in the tourism sector with receipts going from $1.3bn in 2021 to $4bn in Q3 2025."*

By providing exact monetary figures and longitudinal comparative data, the speech substantiates claims of economic recovery. The presentation of verified data provides empirical evidence that policy interventions yield measurable economic returns, appealing directly to foreign investors and multilateral financial institutions.

Logical argumentation is also applied to justify state regulatory choices regarding natural resource governance. Discussing foreign exchange management and mineral wealth, the President states:

> *"We now require the large-scale miners to refine and sell at least 20% of their gold to the Central Bank in order to build up our foreign reserves."*

The speech establishes a cause-and-effect relationship between resource extraction and reserve accumulation. Assertions of resource sovereignty are framed not as arbitrary intervention, but as a calculated monetary policy decision designed to preserve foreign exchange stability.

5.2 Macro-Narrative Construction: Strategic Narrative Dimensions

5.2.1 System Narratives: Rules-Based Multilateralism and Principled Neutrality

System narratives articulate an actor's view of the structure, rules, and power dynamics of the international system. In her address, President Samia constructs the global order as structurally volatile and normatively strained:

> *"We're meeting at a time when the architecture of global co-operation is under stress. The rise of unilateralism is a threat..."*

This statement establishes a conceptual binary between cooperative multilateralism and unilateral power politics. Unilateralism is associated with fragmentation and instability, whereas multilateralism is framed as the foundation of predictable international engagement. The President then asserts Tanzania's normative commitment to international cooperation:

> *"Multilateralism is not optional, it's essential."*

Describing multilateralism as 'essential' positions Tanzania as an active defender of rules-based global governance.

This system narrative is further extended through a strategic posture of bridge-building neutrality:

> *"Tanzania will therefore not be seeking to take sides in a divided world but will be seeking to build bridges which will bring the world together."*

Global Geopolitical Tension & Polarization
├── Unilateral Alignment ('Taking Sides') ──> Strategic Vulnerability & Entanglement
└── Active Non-Alignment ('Building Bridges') ──> Diplomatic Agency & Multi-Engagement

FIGURE 3: Strategic Orientations in Multipolar Geopolitics

The contrast between 'taking sides' and 'building bridges' converts traditional non-alignment into an active stance of diplomatic mediation. Drawing upon Tanzania's historical lineage within the Non-Aligned Movement (NAM) and Pan-African diplomacy, the speech reinterprets non-alignment as proactive diplomatic engagement in a multipolar world.

5.2.2 Identity Narratives: Sovereign Pragmatism, Multi-Engagement, and Democratic Legitimacy

Identity narratives articulate a state's values, institutional character, and international posture. President Samia constructs Tanzania's identity around three pillars: national sovereignty, pragmatic non-alignment, and democratic legitimacy.

First, state sovereignty is asserted as a normative boundary in international diplomacy:

> *"I ask that you at least respect our sovereignty."*

This request establishes respect for national sovereignty as a fundamental requirement for international partnership. Framing sovereignty in normative terms projects domestic dignity while establishing boundaries against external interference in state governance.

Second, the speech reframes traditional non-alignment into a contemporary identity of 'sovereign pragmatism':

> *"Tanzania remains a friend to all. We are non-aligned but multi-engaged..."*

The concept of being 'multi-engaged' updates Cold War-era non-alignment for contemporary multipolar realities. It signals that non-alignment does not imply isolation or passivity, but rather a flexible diplomatic approach that permits simultaneous partnerships with diverse geopolitical centers.

Third, the speech reinforces identity through assertions of domestic democratic mandate:

> *"The voice of the Tanzanians has been heard through the ballot box and that voice must be respected."*

Linking respect for sovereign independence with respect for domestic electoral outcomes aligns external diplomatic standing with internal democratic mandates. Democratic continuity is presented as a stabilizing foundation for ongoing institutional reforms.

5.2.3 Issue Narratives: Economic Transformation, Climate Action, and Medical Diplomacy

Issue narratives operationalize system and identity positions by applying them to concrete policy domains.

1. Long-Term Economic Vision (Development Vision 2050): In this year we are launching Tanzania Development Vision 2050 with the aim of achieving double digit growth in the economy... The launch of Development Vision 2050 frames economic planning around long-term structural targets, inviting foreign commercial partners to align with national development strategies.

> *"In this year we are launching Tanzania Development Vision 2050 with the aim of achieving double digit growth in the economy..."*

2. Industrial Transformation and Value Addition: Tanzania is moving from exporting raw potential to exporting value... This statement encapsulates a strategic reorientation from primary resource extraction toward domestic industrial processing and value addition. Industrialization is presented as a core metric of economic modernization and national development.

> *"Tanzania is moving from exporting raw potential to exporting value..."*

3. Climate Action as Security and Development: Climate action is not an abstract debate; it is a development and security imperative. Reframing climate change from a theoretical discussion into an immediate development and security imperative integrates climate adaptation into national economic planning.

> *"Climate action is not an abstract debate; it is a development and security imperative."*

4. Health Sector Engagement (Medical Diplomacy): I take this opportunity to invite the diplomatic community to go beyond traditional assistance and engage with us in what we call 'Medical Diplomacy'... By proposing a transition from traditional aid relationships to functional 'Medical Diplomacy,' the speech advocates for collaborative development in health infrastructure, research, and regional pharmaceutical production.

> *"I take this opportunity to invite the diplomatic community to go beyond traditional assistance and engage with us in what we call 'Medical Diplomacy'..."*

5.3 Integrated Synthesis: Congruence Across Narrative Layers

Strategic narrative scholarship demonstrates that executive communication is most persuasive when internal alignment exists across system, identity,

and issue narrative layers. The 2026 address exhibits a clear conceptual structure:

SUMMARY OF NARRATIVE CONGRUENCE

SYSTEM NARRATIVE: Fractured, volatile global order requiring rules-based multilateralism
IDENTITY NARRATIVE: Sovereign, pragmatically non-aligned, 'multi-engaged' democratic state
ISSUE NARRATIVE: Operationalized via Development Vision 2050, value addition, climate diplomacy, and medical partnerships

This multi-layered congruence reinforces executive message consistency, projecting institutional stability, strategic clarity, and state autonomy.

6. Discussion

The empirical findings demonstrate that President Samia Suluhu Hassan's 2026 Diplomatic Sherry Party address functions as a multi-dimensional act of executive statecraft. This section contextualizes these findings within broader theoretical debates in political communication, international relations, and Global South governance.

6.1 Synthesising Micro-Rhetoric and Macro-Narratives in Executive Statecraft

The analysis reveals how micro-rhetorical proofs (ethos, pathos, logos) serve as foundational elements within macro-strategic narratives. Ethos is grounded in the identity narrative of 'Sovereign Pragmatism'; pathos drives the narrative of post-election reconciliation; and logos provides empirical support for system-level investment arguments. This integration confirms Miskimmon et al.'s (2013) proposition that strategic narratives achieve maximum persuasive impact when temporal storylines are directly integrated with verified empirical claims and clear policy justifications.

6.2 Externalised Accountability and Post-Election Legitimacy Repair

The address provides an empirical example of how African presidential diplomacy is evolving beyond domestic rally rhetoric toward sophisticated

international engagement. The speech illustrates 'externalised accountability'—a communicative practice wherein executive leaders perform transparency, acknowledge administrative or political difficulties, and outline statutory reforms before an international diplomatic audience. By publicly discussing constitutional inquiries, independent commissions, and reconciliation frameworks alongside foreign diplomats, the President manages international reputational risks while retaining domestic executive authority. This aligns with Macnamara's (2018) model of public communication as an interactive process of institutional legitimacy generation.

DUAL-AUDIENCE REASSURANCE MODEL:

• Domestic Audience ⟶ Electoral Mandate & Civic Healing ⟶ Affirmation of Constitutional Order & Reconciliation

• International Audience ⟶ Foreign Capital & Multilateral Order ⟶ Macroeconomic Stability & Sovereign Rights

FIGURE 4: Dual-Audience Reassurance Framework

6.3 Sovereign Pragmatism and Middle-Power Diplomacy in the Global South

Constructing a system narrative focused on global volatility and unilateralism allows the speech to articulate an autonomous foreign policy posture. Rather than aligning with rival major-power blocs, the speech defines non-alignment as 'multi-engagement'. This posture reinterprets classical non-alignment strategies for contemporary multipolar dynamics, positioning Tanzania as a flexible middle-power actor capable of engaging diverse partners without sacrificing decision-making autonomy.

6.4 Economic Statecraft and Functional Sectoral Cooperation

The issue narratives highlight a shift from traditional donor-recipient paradigms toward economic statecraft based on mutual commercial interest. Concepts such as industrial value addition, mineral refining quotas, Development Vision 2050, and 'Medical Diplomacy' move foreign policy discussions into specialized sectoral cooperation. These narratives frame foreign partnership around technology transfer, direct investment, and joint infrastructure development.

6.5 Theoretical Contributions to Global South Communication Scholarship

Theoretically, combining Aristotelian rhetorical proofs with Strategic Narrative Theory addresses the geographic imbalance in political communication literature. Applying this framework to an African diplomatic setting demonstrates that Global South statecraft rhetoric relies on structured conceptual frameworks to project state authority and navigate complex international dynamics.

7. Conclusion and Future Directions

7.1 Key Insights and Summary

This study evaluated President Samia Suluhu Hassan's 2026 Diplomatic Sherry Party speech as an act of diplomatic statecraft operating at the intersection of domestic legitimacy repair and international engagement. By integrating Aristotelian rhetorical analysis with Strategic Narrative Theory, the analysis reveals how executive communication balances micro-level linguistic persuasion with macro-level narrative construction.

Rhetorically, the speech deploys ethos through fiscal figures and constitutional reform commitments; pathos through empathetic acknowledgements of post-election disruptions; and logos through long-term economic data and policy reasoning. Narratively, it advances a system narrative supporting multilateralism; an identity narrative grounded in sovereign pragmatism and democratic mandate; and issue narratives prioritizing industrial value addition, climate adaptation, and medical

diplomacy. The findings confirm that executive diplomatic addresses in African settings function as vital instruments of strategic governance.

7.2 Theoretical and Practical Implications

For Political Communication Theory: The study demonstrates the analytical value of combining micro-rhetorical frameworks with macro-narrative models when evaluating statecraft discourse in non-Western contexts.

For Diplomatic Practice: The address illustrates how developing states can deploy 'sovereign pragmatism' to preserve policy autonomy, maintain international investor confidence, and manage post-election political transitions.

7.3 Agenda for Future Research

Building on these insights, future scholarly research should:

1. Conduct Comparative Analyses: Expand empirical investigations across multiple African presidential addresses to examine variations in diplomatic rhetoric across different regional economic communities (e.g., EAC, ECOWAS, SADC).

2. Adopt Longitudinal Approaches: Trace the long-term evolution and consistency of executive strategic narratives across full presidential terms and electoral cycles.

3. Incorporate Audience Reception Methodologies: Empirical studies assessing how diplomatic corps, foreign investors, domestic civil society, and international media interpret and respond to executive diplomatic statecraft.

4. Examine Sovereign Discourse in Emerging Democracies: Interdisciplinary inquiries bridging political science, international law, and strategic communication to explore how assertions of sovereignty intersect with democratic governance frameworks in the Global South.

8. References

Beasley, V. B. 2004, *You, the people: American national identity in presidential rhetoric*, Texas A&M University Press.

Becker, F. 2013, Remembering Nyerere: Political rhetoric and dissent in contemporary Tanzania, *African Affairs, vol. 112,* no. 447, pp. 238–261. https://doi.org/10.1093/afraf/adt019

Campbell, K. K., & Jamieson, K. H. 2008, *Presidents creating the presidency: Deeds done in words*, University of Chicago Press.

Charteris-Black, J. 2011, *Politicians and rhetoric: The persuasive power of metaphor* (2nd ed.), Palgrave Macmillan.

Dai, Z. 2020, Logos, pathos, and ethos in David Cameron's political speech: A rhetorical analysis, *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, vol. 41, no. 2, 300–307. https://so04.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/KKUIJ/article/view/86082

Falkheimer, J., & Heide, M. 2015, Strategic communication in participatory culture, *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, vol. 9, no. 5, 337–349. https://doi.org/10.1080/1553118X.2015.1081234

Hallahan, K., Holtzhausen, D., van Ruler, B., Verčič, D., & Sriramesh, K. 2007, Defining strategic communication, *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 3–35. https://doi.org/10.1080/15531180701285244

Hart, R. P. 2011, *Political tone: How leaders talk and why*, University of Chicago Press.

Macnamara, J. 2018, *Evaluating public communication: Exploring new models, standards, and best practice*, Routledge.

Mhute, I., & Mavengano, E. (Eds.). 2024, *Political communication in Sub-Saharan Africa,* Volume I: Media and democracy, Springer Nature.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs and East African Cooperation. 2026, Speech by President Samia Suluhu Hassan: 2026 Diplomatic Sherry Party, State House, Chamwino, Dodoma [PDF]. Ministry of Foreign Affairs and East African Cooperation. https://www.foreign.go.tz/uploads/SPEECH_BY_PRESIDENT_SAMIA__SHERRY_PARTY_2026_.pdf

Miskimmon, A., O'Loughlin, B., & Roselle, L. 2013, Strategic narratives: Communication power and the new world order. Routledge.

Msagalla, B. 2024, Thinking like Nyerere: Authority argumentation by quotation in Tanzanian parliamentary discourse, *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 1, Article 7. https://doi.org/10.56279/13.1.5

Mutsvairo, B., & Karam, B. (Eds.). 2018, Perspectives on political communication in Africa. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62057-2

Roselle, L., Miskimmon, A., & O'Loughlin, B. 2014, Strategic narrative: A new means to understand soft power, *Media, War & Conflict,* vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 70–84. https://doi.org/10.1177/1750635213516696

Rutechura, F. 2017, Examination of rhetorical devices in President Kikwete's 2014 Constituent Assembly inaugural speech, *Journal of Linguistics and Language in Education,* vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 81–94.

Rutechura, F. 2025, Interpretative and discursive communication strategies for addressing misinformation in state communication: An example of COVID-19, *Umma Journal of Contemporary Literature and Creative Arts,* vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 75–96, DUP.

Schreier, M. 2012, *Qualitative content analysis in practice*, Sage Publications.

Selenya, J. 2023, Discursive strategies of manipulation in the late President Magufuli's campaign inaugural speech of the 2020 Tanzanian presidential election, *JULACE: Journal of the University of Namibia Language Centre*, vol. 7, nos. 1–2, pp. 35–51.

Sijadu, Z. P., & Mwombeki, G. G. 2023, Strategic manoeuvring in 2019 campaign speeches, University of Hradec Králové.

Wasserman, H. 2011, *Popular media, democracy and development in Africa*, Routledge.

Zhiyong, D. 2017, Logos, pathos, and ethos in political speech, *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4971293